Pupil Premium Strategy Statement and Action Plan 2019-2020

Kibworth CE Primary School



- Leading Pupil Premium in 2019-2020 will be Mrs Gilly Paterson (Headteacher) and Mrs Danielle Marks (Deputy Headteacher). Mrs Lakin will support the pastoral side with her dual role as an Emotional Literacy Support Assistant (ELSA) and Parent Support Worker.
- Monitoring of Pupil Premium takes places each half term and is regularly reviewed as part of weekly work trawls. Pupil interviews and monitoring of tracking will also take place each term.
- Since a previous decline in FSM numbers, numbers are now increasing. 39 children now in receipt of FSM.
- The school Pupil Premium Strategy Review took place on April 2nd 2019 and was extremely positive.
- In the school year 2018-2019, the total Pupil Premium income was £88,346.34
- The EEF and Sutton Trust informs all Pupil Premium practice and spending

| 1. Summary information | on | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| School | Kibworth Cl | E Primary School | | | |
| Academic Year | 2019-2020 | Total PP budget | 56 children eligible for Pupil Premium including post looked after children and service children. Total Amount of PP income = £82,020 | Date of most recent PP Review | 2 nd April 2019 |
| Total number of pupils | 597 | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 56 | Date for next internal review of this strategy | April 2020 |
| | | Number of pupils in receipt of free school meals | 39 | | |

| | FS | Y1 | Y2 | Y3 | Y4 | Y5 | Y6 | Total school |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|----|--------------|
| No of pupils | 81 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 88 | 92 | 76 | 597 |
| Percentage of PP per year group | 11 % | 8 % | 9 % | 5 % | 18 % | 3% | 9% | 9 % |

| 1. Current attainment – Early Years Foundation Stage 2018 - 2019 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| EYFS – all pupils achieving 'Good Level of Development' | Pupils eligible for PP (FSM) | Pupils not eligible for PP | | |
| 81 % | 63 % | | | |

• EYFS Good level of Development FSM data is 9 % higher than the LA

| 2. Current attainment – | KS1 and phonics 2018 - 2019 | | 1 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | All pupils - making expected or above | Pupils eligible for PP | Pupils not eligible for PP |
| Reading | 85 % | 25 % | |
| Writing | 85 % | 25 % | |
| Maths | 85 % | 25 % | |
| Combined RWM | 84 % | 25 % | |
| Phonics Y1 | 90 % | 78 % | |

| 3.Current attainment – KS2 2018 - 2019 | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | All pupils achieving expected or above | Pupils eligible for PP (your school) | Pupils not disadvantaged | |
| Reading | 91 % | 85 % | | |

| Writing | 99 % | 100 % | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|--|
| Maths | 96 % | 92 % | |
| Combined RWM | 91 % | 86 % | |
| Spelling, punctuation and Grammar | 94 % | 92 % | |

- KS2 reading, writing, maths combined PP data is 25 % higher than Leicestershire and 28 % higher than the national average.
- KS2 reading PP data is 12 % higher than the national average all pupils
- KS2 reading all pupils data is 18 % higher than Leicestershire
- KS2 writing PP data is 22 % higher than the national average all pupils
- KS2 writing all pupils data is 21 % higher than the national average
- KS2 maths PP data is 13 % higher than the national average all pupils
- KS2 maths all pupils data is 17 % higher than the national average

KS2 Progress:

- Reading progress has improved between 2018 and 2019
- KS2 attainment of the expected standard in reading was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of schools in 2019.
- KS2 attainment of the high standard in reading was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of all schools in 2019.
- KS2 attainment of the expected standard in writing was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of schools in 2019 as well as in 2018.
- Maths progress has improved between 2018 and 2019
- KS2 progress in maths was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of all schools in 2019.
- KS2 attainment of the expected standard in maths was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of schools in 2019.
- KS2 attainment of the high standard in maths was significantly above national and in the highest 20% of schools in 2019 as well as in 2018.
- The three year average maths attainment score was in the highest 20 %.
- 91% of pupils achieved the expected standard combined significantly above national and in the highest 20 % of schools.
- 94 % of pupils achieved the expected standard GPS score significantly above national and in the highest 20 % of schools.
- 61 % of pupils achieved the high standard GPS score significantly above national and in the highest 20 % of schools.

| 1. B | arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability) | |
|--------|--|---|
| In-sc | hool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) | |
| A. | To ensure all disadvantaged pupils make expected progress in reading and close the | gap between disadvantaged and non disadvantaged pupils |
| B. | To ensure children have social, emotional and mental health difficulties - which are hi | ndering learning - addressed |
| C. | To close the progress gap in maths attainment for disadvantaged and non disadvanta | ged pupils at the higher standard. |
| Exteri | nal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance ra | tes) |
| D. | Attendance and lateness | |
| 2. D | esired outcomes | |
| | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured | Success criteria |
| A. | Reading - Disadvantaged pupils in all year groups make accelerated progress and achievement gaps diminish at the expected and higher standard (School RAP target). Children are given the right feedback at the right time in order for them to make best possible progress. | Focused interventions in reading for disadvantaged pupils and vulnerable groups to ensure they meet their individual targets, Regular & purposeful reading assessment to inform disadvantaged pupils' next steps. Monitor the enrichment and depth and quality of disadvantaged higher attaining readers. Monitor/address trends in disadvantaged pupils' reading progress Appropriate feedback at the right time Feedback informed by research collaborative Greater amount of PP pupils reach greater depth |
| В. | Social and emotional support – children's emotional needs will be met in order to make best possible learning progress | PP children have key person to provide social and emotional support and unpick issues that may be affecting learning in school. PP children given tools to deal with emotional issues |
| C. | To ensure that more upper KS2 disadvantaged pupils achieve the higher standard in maths and the gap between them and their peers closes. | Pupils in upper key stage 2 are in interventions as appropriate Online learning platforms and AI is used to provide more bespoke interventions |

| D. | Focus on reducing persistent absence in attendance | • | Persistent absence reduces to below 4% by summer 2020. |
|----|---|---|--|
| E. | KS check points – all PP and vulnerable groups of children have appropriate targeted support to ensure they achieve highest possible scores at phonics, KS1 SATs, Y4 times tables check, KS2 SATS | • | Provision mapping is checked against pupils and interventions target PP children. All PP children not on track for expected or greater depth receive targeted support in required areas. |

3. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2019 - 2020

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

| Desired outcome | Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|---|--|--|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| To enable all teachers to take part in research study to improve areas outlined in the SDP. | Learning Collaboratives inspired by data Cost = £13,000 | All teachers part of a collaborative linked to school development foci. EEF Metacognition and self-regulation + 7 months gain EEF feedback + 8 months Collaboratives on feedback; challenge – relate to writing | Regular reviews of collaborative time Each teacher feeds back from their collaborative to their Yr teams Research leads to change in classrooms Research leads to change in teaching Each group to prepare an impact report | SLT | Termly |

| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|--|---|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| ii. Targeted suppo | rt | | 1 Ottal Bu | | 200,200 |
| | | | Total bu | dgeted cost | £35,200 |
| To provide all children with access to Mathletics and Times Tables Rock stars online learning to support maths development | All children given accounts for Mathletics and Times Tables Rockstars online platforms Cost = £3, 200 | | Homework linked to online learning platforms. | G Roantree | Termly |
| To provide high quality intervention for PP pupils | Teaching assistant staffing Cost = £ 19,000 | EEF + 1 month | High quality interventions in reading, writing and maths e.g reading recovery, DIPT, DILP, maths Tracking of interventions Provision maps reviewed termly and children discussed in progress meetings Intervention supports quality first teaching | D Marks | Annually |

| To ensure PP children have social, emotional and wellbeing support | ELSAs Lunch clubs Breakfast clubs Parent support worker Cost = £31,500 | EEF Social and emotional learning + 4 months gain | ELSAs line managed by deputy headteacher / senco | D Marks | Termly |
|--|---|--|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| To provide specialist assessments where needed | Specialist assessment e.g dyslexia assessment, educational psychology, SALT Cost = £2000 | Where PP children are thought to have underlying difficulties such as dyslexia, specialist services are used to diagnose / provide advice for staff. | SENCo assesses individual need and looks at impact or additional gain to be had by specialist services. Cases considered on individual basis. | D. Marks | Annually |
| To provide PP children with additional sports provision and intervention | Wakey Shakey club and targeted sports interventions Cost = £8,500 | EEF sports participation + 2 months gain | | L. Kostecki | Termly |
| | | | Total bu | dgeted cost | £42,000 |
| iii. Other approach | es T | | T | 1 | Γ |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
| To improve attendance and lateness of PP children | Employment of Education welfare Officer (EWO) Cost = £4000 | % of PP have persistent low attendance – affecting learning % of PP have persistent lateness – affecting learning | EWO working with office administrator and SLT | G Paterson | Termly |

| | | | | TOTAL | £85,450 |
|--|---|--|---|----------|----------|
| Total budgeted cost | | | | | £8,150 |
| To reduce the cost of school uniform for PP children | PP children given amount of uniform per year Cost = £35 x 50 = £1750 | All PP children have some uniform provided – school logo items. EEF – 0 months gain | Uniform take up tracked by school office. | J Marsh | Annually |
| To ensure PP children have access to trips and residentials | 25 % reduction in school trips and residentials for PP children Approx. £2100 | Educational trips can be costly for families. Reduction reduces cost for these families. | PP children not signed up to go on residentials – SLT to discuss reason with parents for this decision. | SLT | Annually |
| To ensure PP children have access to after school clubs | PP children have priority for school clubs Cost = £300 | PP children may not take part in other activities after school Gives PP children additional experiences EEF sports participation + 2 months gain | Up front policy of giving PP children first choice in clubs | C Weston | Termly |

| Previous Academic Year | | 2018-2019 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| i. Quality of teaching for all | | | | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | | | |
| To enable all teachers to take part in research study to improve areas outlined in the SDP. | Learning Collaboratives inspired by data | Each learning collaborative has led to changes in practice across the school Good PP end of year data | Learning collaboratives to continue this year linked to new school development plan. | £13,143 | | | |
| To provide high quality intervention for PP pupils | Teaching assistant staffing | Good PP end of year data | Continue with this next year. | £ 21,000 | | | |
| To provide all children with access to Mathletics and Times Tables Rock stars online learning to support maths development | All children given accounts for Mathletics and Times Tables Rockstars online platforms | Y6 end of year data shows 93% of PP children reached expected standard and 39% of PP reached the higher standard in maths. | Homework linked to online learning platforms. Continue with this next year. | £3, 200 | | | |
| ii. Targeted suppor | rt | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost | | | |

| To improve percentage of Year 5 and 6 PP children on track for expected and / or greater depth in mathematics | Artificial Intelligence (AI) Maths project – Century Training | Higher percentage of children achieved greater depth at KS2 All children made expected progress in the higher standard at Y5 and Y6 and value added to Y6 children. | Further use of online platforms and | £900 |
|---|---|--|--|-----------|
| To ensure PP children have social, emotional and wellbeing support | ELSAs Lunch clubs Breakfast clubs Parent support worker | Outstanding support for pupils suffering from emotional issues. Excellent support for parents of these pupils Excellent feedback from parents and pupils Outstanding lunchtime provision Outstanding community links | Continue with this arrangement next year | £31,500 |
| To provide specialist assessments where needed | Specialist assessment e.g dyslexia assessment, educational psychology, SALT | 5 x specialist assessments enabled more bespoke support for individual PP pupils | Continue to provide specialist assessment for PP pupils where needed on an individual basis. | £2250 |
| To provide PP children with additional sports provision and intervention | Wakey Shakey club and targeted sports interventions Specialist PE teaching | Targeted children do Wakey Shaky and sports interventions Impact on pupil learning in class Impact on pupil health Outstanding lunchtime provision | Continue with this next year | £8,445.06 |
| iii. Other approach | es | 1 | 1 | l |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |

| To improve attendance and lateness of PP children | Employment of Education welfare Officer (EWO) | % of PP with persistent low attendance has dropped % of PP with persistent lateness has dropped | EWO service is being continued SLT more involved with meeting parents regarding lateness and persistent absence and trying to understand the issues / put in intervention | £4000 |
|---|--|--|--|------------|
| To ensure PP children have access to after school clubs | PP children have priority for school clubs | PP children may not take part in other activities after school Gives PP children additional experiences | Choice of clubs – ensure suitable for all children. PP children need targeted invites – involve parent support worker to ensure more children access clubs | £300 |
| To ensure PP children have access to trips and residentials | 25 % reduction in school trips and residentials for PP children | 81% of eligible PP children went on school residential trips 100% of PP children attended day trips | Continue next year | £1766 |
| To reduce the cost of school uniform for PP children | PP children given amount of uniform per year | All PP children have some uniform provided – school logo items. PE kit and other uniform items included | Continue next year | £1750 |
| | | | Total Pupil Premium income 2018-2019 | £88,346.34 |
| | | | Total Pupil Premium expenditure 2018- 2019 | £88,254 |

5. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above. Our full strategy document can be found online at: www.aschool.sch.uk

Pupil Premium Aims for 2019- 2020 :

- 1. To ensure all families eligible for Pupil Premium funding are identified and apply for funding. (The school office is responsible for promoting Pupil Premium and for sending letters out periodically e.g to all children who start school; to all children at the end of KS1)
- 2. To strive for all Pupil Premium children to reach end of year age related expectations for reading, writing and mathematics
- 3. For teaching and learning to be at the highest quality, where children are actively engaged with their learning.
- 4. Pupil Premium children to receive learning tailored to their needs. To receive regular effective feedback enabling them to reach their full potential.
- 5. To provide all children with the skills to learn effectively.
- 6. To provide Pupil Premium children with the necessary support in school from the school ELSA (emotional literacy support assistant) and Pupil Premium Champion in order for them to engage effectively; to also provide family support where necessary.
- 7. To provide high quality intervention within the classroom setting tailored to needs.
- 8. To provide online learning platforms to support learning in mathematics these can be accessed in school and children will be provided with time in school where they do not have access to home ICT equipment.
- 9. All staff are fully aware of who the Pupil Premium, FSM and vulnerable children are.
- 10. All Pupil Premium children benefit from the funding, not just those underperforming.
- 11. To ensure that underperformance at all levels is targeted and children are supported at all levels.