Pupil Premium Impact Statement

2014/2015



Action	Quantitative Data	Qualitative Data	Impact	Cost	Next Steps
Employ a Pupil Premium	Year 5 The interventions teacher taught pupils in Year 5 for	Pupil premium interventions teacher was employed to help	++	£££	Consider doing this again for the future; due to making all class
intervention teacher to support teaching in Y5 and Y6	additional English sessions. Reading End of year results for reading showed a difference of 0.7 points in reading compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 4.4 compared with 5.1 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are all working at expected National Curriculum level. Writing In writing the gap is 1.3 points compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 3.6 compared with 4.9 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are working slightly below the expected National	boost children in upper Key Stage 2. In the Autumn term, the PP teacher worked with groups in Y5 and groups in Y6. From the Spring term 2015, the teacher worked with Y6 only and helped to reduce group size in English and maths by forming an additional teaching group in those subjects.			sizes smaller this year the budget does not allow for an additional interventions teacher.
	Curriculum level compared with non PP children who are meeting expectations. Year 6 end of KS2 data Reading APS PP children = 28.7 Reading APS non PP children = 31.0 Difference = 2.3 points Writing APS PP children = 28.1 Writing APS non PP children = 30.0	As a result, all pupils in the year group benefited.			
	Difference = 1.9 points Maths APS PP children = 30.2 Maths APS non PP children = 31.2 Difference = 1.0 points				
Employ a Learning Mentor to help	 Year 6 Pupils focus on attendance Average of 5.9% increase in attendance after learning mentor intervention 	Raised self esteem of many Pupil Premium children across the school.	++	fff	Continue with Learning Mentor support next academic year 2015 / 2016 - with main priorities being

support Pupil Premium children with issues such as attendance, self esteem, confidence, homework and behaviour.		Improved attendance in a group of children in Year 6. Families supported.			Pupil Premium children.
Pupil Premium Learning Support Assistant used to deliver guided reading intervention and associated educational visits linked to reading club.	 Year 3 reading End of year results for reading showed a difference of 0.3 points in reading compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 5.0 compared with 5.3 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are all working well within the expected National Curriculum level. Year 4 reading End of year results for reading showed a difference of 0.8 points in reading compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 4.2 compared with 5.0 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are all working well within the expected National Curriculum level. Year 5 reading End of year results for reading showed a difference of 0.7 points in reading compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 4.4 compared with 5.1 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are all working well within the expected National Curriculum level. 	 16 Year 5 children attended for 20 mins every week. It had a huge impact on reading in terms of children enjoying books and extending their reading knowledge in authors such as Roald Dahl, Michael Morpurgo. Work included reading, discussion, workshops and theatre trips. Parents were involved and parental engagement was high. Year 3 and 4 children were supported in reading with interventions such as Reading Recovery and Moving Reading and Writing on. 	+++	£££	Consider reading club again in 2015 / 2016 though staffing may not be available to do this.
Pupil Premium Learning Support Assistant used to deliver guided writing intervention	Year 3 Writing End of year results for writing showed a difference of 0.2 points in reading compared with non PP children. The average point achieved was 4.9 compared with 5.1 non PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are all working well within the expected National Curriculum level. Year 4 Writing	Writing was a whole school focus this academic year and regularly reviewed as part of the SDP.	++	££	Writing continues to be a focus in the academic year 2015-2016

	points averag PP chil all wor level. Year 5 End of points averag PP chil all wor	year result in writing of the point ach dren. This rking well w Writing year result in writing of the point ach dren. This rking slightl for writing	compan hieved showed vithin t ts for re compan hieved showed y behin	red with new was 4.1 co d that on a he expected red with new was 3.6 co d that on a nd Nationa	on PP mpare verag ed Nat wed a on PP mpare verag	children. ed with 4. e, PP child ional Curr differenc children. ed with 4. e, PP child	The 6 non dren are riculum ce of 1.3 The 9 non dren are				
Residential contributions		Not	applica	ible - resid	ential	trips		Pupil Premium children were able to attend Y4 and Y6 residentials due to 25% subsidy from Pupil Premium budget. Children enjoyed experiences they wouldn't have done otherwise such as outdoor pursuits and adventurous activities.	++	£	Residential subsidies continue at no more than 25% of the trip price should parents of Pupil Premium children require financial help.
Pupil Premium Leader -	End of	KS1 data						Pupil Premium leader in school had day to day	+++	£££	Change of leader from Mrs Stone to Mrs Marks for academic year
provide dedicated leadership time each week to ensure quality leadership of Pupil Premium	APS PP Non PP KS1 SA Read 2B ar Abov Level	18.3 18.1 Ts results ling nd e 3	APS Pupil F PP Non PP 76 36	Writing Premium 16.8 17.4 93.8% 44.4%	APS PP Non PP	Maths 17.5 17.8	RWM 17.5 17.8	responsibility and management for ensuring Pupil Premium children made good progress and similar to non Pupil Premium children Pupil Premium spending impact was constantly reviewed in SLT meetings.			2015 / 2016.

-			1
2B and			
Above		72	88.9%
Level 3		29	35.8%
I			
Maths			
2B and			
Above		79	97.5%
Level 3		32	39.5%
Levers		52	35.570
End of KS2	2 data		
Reading			
	62		
Total			
N	0	0.0%	_
L3	2	3.2%	
L4	22	35.5%	
L5	38	61.3%	
L6	0	0.0%	
L4 and A	bove		
		9	7%
L5 and A	bove	6	51%
Writing			
Total	62	,	
N	0		0.0%
L3	5		8.1%
L4	30		48.4%
L5	22		35.5%
L6	5		8.1%
L4 ai	nd Abo	ve	92%
L5 ai	nd Abo	ve	44%
L			
Maths			
	6		
Total	63	L	

		•	0.0%					
	N	0	0.0%					
	L3	1	1.6%					
	L4	26	42.6%					
	L5	27	44.3%					
	L6	7	11.5%	-				
	L4 and	L4 and Above98%L5 and Above56%						
	L5 and							
		1						
	Grammar							
	Total	62						
	N	0	0.0%					
	L3	5	8.1%					
	L4	16	25.8%					
	L5	33	53.2%					
	L6	8	12.9%					
	L4 an	d Above	92%					
	L5 an	d Above	66%					
	Year 6 end	of KS2 dat	ta					
	Reading AP							
	-		hildren = 31.0					
	Difference =	= 2.3 point	.s					
	Mriting ADC		n = 10.1					
	Writing APS		hildren = 30.0					
	Difference =							
	Maths APS	PP childre	n = 30.2					
	Maths APS	non PP chi	ildren = 31.2					
	Difference =	= 1.0 point	S					
Numicon maths	Year 1 Mat			ad a difference of 0.4	Learning support assistant	++	£££	Numicon continues to be an
resources and intervention				ed a difference of 0.4 PP children. The	helped to target children across the school in maths and			available intervention within the school and is used by many.
using Pupil				npared with 5.7 non	deliver specific Numicon			school and is used by fildily.
Premium				verage, PP children are	interventions.			
			. su that on u			l	L	

fundala	all working well with in National Convinctory Francester		
funded	all working well within National Curriculum Expected		
Learning	levels.		
Support	Very 2 Mether KC1 date		
Assistant	Year 2 Maths - KS1 data		
	Maths APS PP children = 17.5		
	Maths APS non PP children = 17.8		
	Difference = 0.3 points		
	Year 3 Maths		
	End of year results for maths showed a difference of 0.2		
	points in maths compared with non PP children. The		
	average point achieved was 4.8 compared with 5.0 non		
	PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are		
	all working well within National Curriculum Expected		
	levels.		
	Year 4 Maths		
	End of year results for maths showed a difference of 0.2		
	points in maths compared with non PP children. The		
	average point achieved was 4.4 compared with 4.6 non		
	PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are		
	all working well within National Curriculum Expected		
	levels.		
	Year 5 Maths		
	End of year results for maths showed a difference of 1.9		
	points in maths compared with non PP children. The		
	average point achieved was 3.8 compared with 5.7 non		
	PP children. This showed that on average, PP children are		
	all working slightly behind National Curriculum expected		
	levels for maths in Year 5.		
	Year 6 Maths		
	1 pupil received very targeted intervention using LSA		
	from Pupil Premium team - Made 8 points progress over		
	the year.		
	Y6 Pupil Premium teacher used for intervention		
	Maths APS PP children = 30.2 points		
	P		

	Maths APS non PP children = 31.2 points Difference = 1.0 points				
Summer School	Qualitative data will be available at the end of each term - Pupil Premium children who attended will be monitored in terms of reading against those PP children who didn't attend and against non PP children in order to assess impact.	4 week Summer School in Summer holidays. Impact on children's reading - all children who attended were heard read every day. 12 children attended the Summer School ; on average each child attended about 50% of the time.	+	££	Summer School likely to continue in 2016 - need to look at financial implication and value for money / cost per child who attends
School Business Enterprise		School chicken project - Pupil Premium children in Year 6 took responsibility for selling eggs to parents. Raised self esteem and new opportunities.	+	££	Continue with school chicken project and look into how to develop business idea further.
CPD, travel and other resources				£	